



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/867,151	05/29/2001	Richard R. Dziekan JR.	039362-0061	7471	
27774	7590 08/16/2004		EXAMINER		
MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC			SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
251 NORTH A 2ND FLOOR	AVENUE WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			2174		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ر
Advisory Action	09/867,151	DZIEKAN ET AL.	į
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven P Sax	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 22 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee. The appropriate extension of the fee.	on ion
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	;
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ———		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
0. Other:°		STEVEN SAX PRIMARY EXAMINER	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The additional features of the information specifically representing the signal to noise ratio, as well as at a given test point for each bandwidth, bring out new issues that warrant further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment, although having merit over the prior art of record, cannot be entered per the above.